UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

·	MITED STATES OF AMERICA		
	V.	ORD	PER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
J	lesus Alonzo Cabrera-Espinoza	Case Number:	11-09691M-001
and was rep	ce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 presented by counsel. I conclude by a prepond adant pending trial in this case.	(f), a detention hearing verance of the evidence t	was held on July 13, 2011. Defendant was present the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention
	FII	NDINGS OF FACT	
	reponderance of the evidence that:		
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
⊠	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes			
	☐ The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear	ar in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law e	enforcement contact by	fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of _		years imprisonment.
The at the time of	of the hearing in this matter, except as noted	findings of the Pretrial S in the record. CLUSIONS OF LAW	Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court
1.	There is a serious risk that the defendar		
2.	No condition or combination of condition	s will reasonably assure	e the appearance of the defendant as required.
		S REGARDING DETEN	
a corrections appeal. The of the United	s facility separate, to the extent practicable, fro e defendant shall be afforded a reasonable op	om persons awaiting or s portunity for private con- overnment, the person	s/her designated representative for confinement in serving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a court in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.
	APPEALS A	ND THIRD PARTY REL	EASE
			th the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to t one day prior to the hearing set before the District
Services su	S FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a ifficiently in advance of the hearing before the the potential third party custodian.	third party is to be considered party is to be considered party allow	dered, it is counsels responsibility to notify Pretrial Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DATE: <u>Ju</u>	uly 13, 2011		JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge